AD-A207

Anited States Army Bealth Care Studies



and

Clinical Investigation Activity

RELIABILITY OF THE U.S. ARMY
AMBULATORY CARE DATA BASE (ACDB) STUDY:
METHODOLOGY AND CLINICAL FINDINGS

MAJ(P) JEFFREY P. MOON, M.S., USA
MAJ(P) JAMES M. GEORGOULAKIS, Ph.D., M.S., USA
GS-12 DAVID R. BOLLING, M.S.
GS-9 SUE E. AKINS, B.F.A.
GS-12 VELDA R. AUSTIN, M.S.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

HR 89-003A

FEBRUARY 1989

US ARMY
HEALTH SERVICES COMMAND
FORT SAM HOUSTON, TEXAS 78234

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A

Approved for public release; Distribution Unlimited

39 5 08 002

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE							
REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE							
1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified		16. RESTRICTIVE A	MARKINGS				
2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY		3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT Approved for Public Release; distribution unlimited.					
25. DECLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE							
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)		S. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)					
HR 89-003A		-					
6a NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION (6b. OFFICE SYMBOL USA Health Care Studies & (if applicable)		7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION					
Clinical Investigation	HSHN-H	DASG-RMP					
6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)		7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)					
Bldg 2268, Stanley Road Fort Sam Houston, Texas 78234-6060		5109 Leesburg Pike Falls Church, VA 22041-3258					
rore sam nouscon, reads vo.	254 3303						
8a. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING	8b. OFFICE SYMBOL	9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER					
ORGANIZATION HQDA (DASG-RMB)	(if applicable)						
8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)		10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS					
5109 Leesburg Pike		PROGRAM	PROJECT	TASK	WORK UNIT		
Falls Church, VA 22041-325	8	ELEMENT NO.	NO.	NO.	ACCESSION NO.		
				<u> </u>			
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) Reliability of the U.S. Art	my Ambulatory	Care Data	Base (AC	DR) Stud	v		
Methodology and Findings.	my Ambulacoly	Care Data	Dase (No	DD, Staa	,		
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Jeffrey P.	Moon, MAJ, MS	. USA: Jam	es M. Geo	rgoulaki	s. MAJ. MS.		
USA: PhD, David R. Bolling	, DAC: Sue Ak	ins, DAC;	Velda Aus	tin, DAC			
13a. TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME COVERED 14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 15. PAGE COUNT Executive Summary FROM Aug 87 to Oct 88 1989							
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION							
17. COSATI CODES	18. SUBJECT TERMS (C	ontinue on revers	e if necessary ar	nd identify by	block number)		
17. COSATI CODES 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) Ambulatory care; data reliability, medical record patient encounter, random sample, study error							
	rates, GAUP THERAPY, CTESILE						
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) During the study period, January 1986 - September 1987, researchers col-							
During the study period, January 1986 - September 1987, researchers collected data on 3.1 million patient encounters (visits at six participating							
Army hospitals. After the	data collect	ion phase	of the AC	DB study	study team		
Army hospitals. After the data collection phase of the ACDB study, study team members conducted a comprehensive 6-month review to determine a data relia-							
bility score for each participating hospital and clinical specialty. The							
study team reviewed over /9							
	luated variab						
veloped scoring instrument which they used to assign numerical weights for the selected variables.							
Reliability mean scores were computed for each hospital and clinic for the							
two data collection phases. Phase One (1) data was collected during the							
period January 1986 through April 1987. Phase two II (continued on reverse side)							
20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED SAME AS RPT. DTIC USERS		111107 4 0 0	ECURITY CLASSIF	ICATION			
223. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL	22b. TELEPHONE		de) 22c. OFFiC	E SYMBOL			
Jeffrey P. Moon, MAJ, USA		-5880/05					
DD 500M 1472 24 4448 83 A	00	and and arrested					

PR edition may be used until exhausted.

All other editions are obsolete.

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE UNCLASSIFIED

was accomplished from May through September 1987 using modified versions of the original data collection forms. The reliability mean score for the Phase I data was 8.57 (9 was the maximum score) with a standard deviation of 1.27 (n=7,589). The reliability mean score for the Phase II data was 8.50 with a standard deviation of 1.31 (n=1,426). These results indicate a high degree of reliability between the key variables on the ACDB visit forms and the corresponding official patient medical record.

Acces	sion For	
NTIS	GRA&I	
DIIC	TAB	
Unans	equinded.	
Justi	rication_	
}	ribution/ ilability	
D4 = 1	Avail and	
Dist	ay busa.	•
A-1		

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION	PAGE
Disclaimer	i
Report Documentation Page (DD 1473)	ii
Table of Contents	iv
Summary	1
Introduction	1
History & Purpose	1
Background	2
Results	3
References	5
Distribution List	6

RELIABILITY OF THE U.S. ARMY AMBULATORY CARE DATA BASE (ACDB) STUDY: METHODOLOGY AND CLINICAL FINDINGS

SUMMARY

During the study period, January 1986 - September 1987, researchers collected data on 3.1 million patient encounters (visits) at six Army hospitals. After the data collection phase of the ACDB study, members of the study team conducted a comprehensive 5-month review to determine a data reliability score for each participating hospital and clinical specialty. The study team reviewed over 9,000 randomly selected visits with their supporting medical records. They evaluated variables of interest with a specially developed scoring instrument which they used to assign numerical weights for the selected variables.

Reliability mean scores were computed for each hospital and clinic for the two data collection phases. Phase One (I) data were collected during the period January 1986 through April 1987. Phase Two (II) was accomplished from May through September 1987 using modified versions of the original data collection forms. The reliability mean score for the Phase I data was 8.57 (9 was the maximum score) with a standard deviation of 1.27 (n= 7,589). The reliability mean score for the Phase II data was 8.50 with a standard deviation of 1.31 (n = 1,426). These results indicate a high degree of reliability between the key variables on the ACDB visit forms and the corresponding, official patient medical record.

INTRODUCTION

<u>History</u> and <u>Purpose</u>

Recognizing the requirement for an ambulatory care data base, the Army Medical Department began planning in 1984 for a multi-year study to establish an outpatient data base. Based on the results of a 6-month pilot

study completed at Fox Army Community Hospital, Redstone Arsenal, Alabama (Misener & Gilbert, 1984), the ACDB Study was formulated to collect clinical data from patient encounters (visits). During a 21-month period from January 1986 to September 1987, over 3.1 million patient encounters were recorded.

This report examines the reliability of the clinical data obtained from the six participating Army hospitals and their respective clinical specialties. A quantitative measure of the reliability of the data was determined to be a prerequisite to the subsequent analysis by clinical specialty.

Background

The development of medical classification systems is not a recent innovation (e.g., International Classification of Diseases, 1979). However, the application of such systems to hospital management and reimbursement mechanisms is fairly recent. Specifically, Diagnostic Related Groups (DRGs) were developed for this purpose (Fetter, Averill, Lichtenstein & Freeman, 1984). The quest for more efficient management and more equitable reimbursement systems led to the development of other similar methodologies. These include Ambulatory Visit Groups (AVGs), Resource Utilization Groups (RUGs), Products of Ambulatory Care (PACs), and others (Kelly, Fillmore, & Tenan, 1988). Central to the development of these and other classification systems is the accurate measurement of care provided. This accuracy of measurement, more commonly referred to as reliability of data, is not identified with glamorous research. It is, however, essential for confidence in results obtained from any subsequent work (Richards, Lurie, Rodgers, & Brook, 1988).

In order to determine the reliability of the Army's ACDB, a

comprehensive reliability study was conducted at all six test hospitals and included the outpatient specialties which were part of the study. Hospitals participating in the study were Brooke Army Medical Center (BAMC), Fort Sam Houston (San Antonio), Texas; Bayne-Jones Army Community Hospital, Fort Polk (Leesville), Louisiana; Womack Army Community Hospital, Fort Bragg (Fayetteville), North Carolina; Fox Army Community Hospital, Redstone Arsenal (Huntsville), Alabama; Blanchfield Army Community Hospital, Fort Campbell (Clarksville, Tennessee), Kentucky; and Moncrief Army Community Hospital, Fort Jackson (Columbia), South Carolina.

RESULTS

The four variables selected in the reliability study are part of each patient's record. Unfortunately, the reliability study was not able to specifically review on a one-to-one basis the other fifty-seven variables (e.g., time spent with patient, number of prescriptions, pathology tests and radiography) used in the study. Study researchers contend that the reliability of the variables selected has the potential to imply a similar trend in other variables.

Quantifiable reliability measures for each participating hospital and clinical specialty were derived from an exhaustive review of supporting patient medical records. Since data were collected during two consecutive but separate periods, the reliability measures were computed for each phase.

Analysis of variance tests revealed statistically significant differences between hospital sites, phases of data collection, and individual participating clinical specialties do exist. However, no practical significance or practical implications were found as a result of these statistical differences. Moreover, some of the statistical

differences found can be a function of large sample sizes (Welch & Comer, 1988).

As a result of this extensive study, the question of the accuracy of the studied variables can be answered without hesistation. These data are unquestionably of a very high quality and on a par with the best of any medical data collected and scrutinized within or outside the Army Medical Department.

REFERENCES

- Fetter, R.B., Averill, R.F., Lichtenstein, J.L., & Freeman, J.L. (1984). Ambulatory Visit Groups: A Framework for Measuring Productivity in Ambulatory Care. <u>Health Services Research</u>, 19(4), 415-437.
- Georgoulakis, J.M., Moon, J.P., Akins, S.E., Begg, I., Misener, T.R., & Bolling, D.R. (1988). <u>The Army Ambulatory Care Data Base Study:</u>
 <u>Implementation and Preliminary Data</u> (Report No HR 88-002), Fort Sam Houston, TX: U.S. Army Health Care Studies & Clinical Investigation Activity.
- International Classification of Disease, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification, Adapted for Use in the United States (1979). Washington D.C.: National Center for Health Statistics, U.S. Department of Health, Education, & Welfare (1979).
- Kelley, W., Fillmore, H., & Tenan, P. (1988). Case Mix Classification and Ambulatory Care. <u>Business and Health</u>, 5, 41-44.
- Misener, T.R., & Gilbert, P.M. (1984). <u>Ambulatory Care Data Base</u> (Report No. 83-009). Fort Sam Houston, TX: U.S. Army Health Care Studies and Clinical Investigation Activity. (NTIS: ADA144838).
- Richards, T., Lurie, N., Rodgers, W., & Brook, R. (1988). Measuring differences between teaching and nonteaching hospitals. <u>Medical Care</u>, 26(5), S1-S141.
- Welch, S., & Comer, J. (1988). <u>Quantitative Methods for Public Administration</u> (2nd ed.). Chicago: The Dorsey Press.

DISTRIBUTION:

6200 (1)

Deputy Under Secretary (Operations Research), Department of the Army, ATTN: Mr. Walter Hollis, The Pentagon, Rm 2E660, Wash DC 20310-0200 (1) Army Study Program Management Office, ATTN: DACS-DMO/Mrs. Joann Langston, The Pentagon, Rm 3C567, WASH DC 20310-0200 (1) Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense (Medical Resources Management), Rm 3E336, The Pentagon, WASH DC 20310-2300 (1) Resource Analysis & Management System, ATTN: OASD-HA/LTC S. Baker, 3 Skyline Place, Suite 1507, 5201 Leesburg Pike, Falls Church, VA 22041-3203 (2) HQ HSC (HSCL-A), Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234-6000 (2) Dir, The Army Library, ATTN: ANR-AL-RS (Army Studies), Rm 1A518, The Pentagon, WASH DC 20310-2300 (1) Administrator, Defense Logistics Agency, DTIC, ATTN: DTIC-DDAB, Cameron Station, Alexandria, VA 22304-6145 (2) Defense Logistics Studies Information Exchange, ALMC, ATTN: Mrs. Alter, Ft Lee, VA 23801-6043 (1) Dir, Joint Medical Library, DASG-AAFJML, Offices of The Surgeons General, Army/Air Force, Rm 670, 5109 Leesburg Pike, Falls Church, VA 22041-3258 HQDA (DASG-HCD-D), 5109 Leesburg Pike, Falls Church, VA 22041-3258 (2) HQDA (DASG-RMP), 5109 Leesburg Pike, Falls Church, VA 22041-3258 (2) HQDA (DASG-RMB), 5109 Leesburg Pike, Falls Church, VA 22041-3258 (2) HQDA (DASG-PSA), 5109 Leesburg Pike, Falls Church, VA 22041-3258 (2) Medical Library, BAMC, Reid Hall, Bldg 1001, Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234-

Stimson Library, AHS, Bldg. 2840, Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234-6100 (1)